



LISD TECH Center

Student Learning Objective (SLO) Scoring Template

The template may be used to organize data for SLOs.

1. Add the name or identification number for each student into the worksheet. Additional rows may be added as needed.
2. Enter the student's baseline score if applicable.
3. Enter each student's established growth target.
4. Enter the final score for each student.
5. Enter if each individual student exceeded/met the growth target by answering yes or no.
6. Once all the relevant information has been entered in the worksheet, attainment of the students' growth targets on this SLO will need to be computed.

Instructor: Jake Graf School Year: 2016-2017

Program: Culinary Arts

SLO Growth Targets: 85% of 1st year students will demonstrate a 20% growth target from their Pre-Test

Pre-Assessment Date (if applicable): 9/13/2016

Post-Assessment Date: 4/28/17

Student	Baseline Score	Growth Target	Final Score	Exceeds/Meets Target (yes/no)	IEP
1 Andrews Austin	41%	61%	72%	yes	X
2 Baughey Alexcis	56%	76%	77%	yes	
3 Bernath Megan	52%	72%	70%	no	
4 Blaker Gavin	64%	84%	72%	no	
5 Buie Justice	44%	64%	56%	no	X
6 Cortez Yessica	37%	57%	52%	no	
7 Cowell Paige	27%	47%	45%	no	
8 Deforest Lawrence	41%	61%	59%	no	
10 Develbiss McKenna	59%	79%	78%	no	X
12 Evans Joiceia	49%	69%	76%	yes	
13 Fish Courtney	32%	52%	53%	yes	
14 Grant Rachel	43%	63%	72%	yes	
16 Groom Andrew	47%	67%	63%	no	X
17 Hacket Dean	55%	75%	68%	no	
19 Holt Ashton	58%	78%	75%	no	
20 Hooker Katie	56%	76%	58%	no	
21 Hunter Jacob	51%	71%	65%	no	X
25 June Bradley	62%	82%	84%	yes	
26 Krueger Della	45%	65%	66%	yes	
28 Lipke Shelby	55%	75%	75%	yes	
29 Loar Micah	48%	68%	62%	no	X
30 Lubinski Colleen	57%	77%	66%	no	
31 Marion Corie	48%	68%	57%	no	
32 Marsak Emma	50%	70%	70%	yes	
33 McCarthy Koyla	55%	75%	72%	no	X
34 McClure Connor	61%	81%	68%	no	
35 Muhl Marcos	39%	59%	57%	no	
36 Murlless Oliver	76%	96%	76%	no	
38 Paterson Breanne	28%	48%	47%	no	X
39 Randell Micah	40%	60%	57%	no	
41 Roe Daven	45%	65%	64%	no	
43 Scott Brienna	53%	73%	65%	no	
45 Simon Daniel	60%	80%	78%	no	X
46 Starr Mikaela	55%	75%	83%	yes	
47 Todd Noah	43%	63%	65%	yes	
48 Villegas Jenicy	46%	66%	65%	no	
49 Ward Molly	43%	63%	67%	yes	X
50 Webb Britney	42%	62%	60%	no	
51 Whitaker John	31%	51%	40%	no	
52 Vargas Icuitli	64%	84%	78%	no	
53 White Hunter	46%	66%	71%	yes	
46					

41	Total # of Students
13	Total # who Met or Exceeded
28	Total # not meeting growth target

Final SLO Percentages:	
32	% of Students exceeded or met growth target
68	% of Students not meeting growth target

I believe that when looking at the data and the final SLO percentages that it creates a false negative. While never having completed the Precision Exam I wasn't quite sure what to expect. It was a lot tougher than we imagined. While setting a goal of 20% increase is a substantial goal, I did not achieve that goal, however we were alot closer than the data supports. While 13 students surpassed there target goal, there was another 16 students who came within 5% of reaching or exceeding goal. That would have been 70% of the class exceeding their goal. There were also 2 students who pre-tested extremeley well, therefore there target goal was extremely high and almost unattainable. Also another 5 students who did not meet there goal have and IEP on file. While I did not achieve my goal, ultimatley as a class the students grew exponentially. The program average on the Pre-Test was 51%. The final program average was